

MULTIDISCIPLINE PROCEEDINGS OF
**DIGITAL FASHION
CONFERENCE**

KOREA, REPUBLIC OF

Multidiscipline Proceedings of

DIGITAL FASHION CONFERENCE

September 2026 (*Volume 6, No.5*)

Copyright © 2025
By Woongjin Think Big Co., Ltd.
All rights reserved.
Available at digitalfashionsociety.org
Published:
서울 합정역
파주출판도시
ISSN 2466-0744
Seoul
Rebuplic of Korea (ROK),

EDITORIAL BOARD

Katharina Sand

*PhD Candidate - Faculty of Communication, Culture and Society, USI -
Università della Svizzera italiana*

Alice Noris

*PhD Candidate - Faculty of Communication, Culture and Society, USI -
Università della Svizzera italiana*

Michela Ornati

*Faculty of Communication, Culture and Society, USI - Università della
Svizzera italiana*

ELSEVIER



SRN
Societal Research Network

Universal
Impact Factor



IMPLEMENTATION OF DIGITAL TWIN TECHNOLOGY AS AN INTEGRATED SOLUTION FOR SUSTAINABLE URBANIZATION CHALLENGES IN TASHKENT SMART CITY

S.Salimov

Independent Researcher
Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Abstract: This paper examines the practical implementation of digital twin technology as an integrated response to sustainable urbanization challenges in Tashkent. The proposed approach combines spatial data, real-time sensor information, municipal registers, forecasting models, and decision-support tools in one governed platform. Instead of digitizing individual services in isolation, the digital twin links transport, land use, utilities, environmental monitoring, emergency management, and public services. The paper defines an implementation architecture, phased roadmap, governance mechanism, priority pilot projects, and outcome-based indicators suitable for Tashkent Smart City.

Keywords: digital twin, sustainable urbanization, smart city, urban infrastructure, data integration, scenario modelling, Tashkent.

Urbanization Challenges in Tashkent

Tashkent's continued population and economic growth is increasing demand for housing, transport, energy, water, public facilities, and green space. These systems share the same territory and often depend on the same infrastructure, but institutions plan and operate them through separate datasets and sector-specific information systems. Fragmentation makes it difficult to evaluate the combined consequences of a new residential area, road project, utility upgrade, or change in public transport. Delayed access to reliable data also limits the city's ability to prevent failures and respond quickly to congestion, pollution, water losses, or emergencies.

Sustainable urbanization requires decisions that balance economic development, service accessibility, infrastructure capacity, environmental quality, and resilience. The Digital Uzbekistan 2030 Strategy supports the digital transformation of territories and data-driven public administration [1]. For Tashkent, implementation of a city digital twin would translate this direction into an operational system that connects physical assets and urban processes with their continuously updated digital representation.

Implementation Principle

The implementation should begin with management problems rather than with the purchase of sensors or a visually impressive three-dimensional model. Each digital component must support a defined municipal decision: optimizing a junction, detecting a water leak, assessing a construction permit, planning a bus route, or identifying an air-pollution hotspot. This problem-driven principle reduces unnecessary investment and makes benefits measurable from the pilot stage.

The digital twin should operate as a shared layer above existing municipal systems. Source agencies retain responsibility for their operational data, while the platform receives standardized data through application programming interfaces. A common spatial reference and unique identifiers connect land parcels, buildings, roads, engineering assets, and service zones. Historical and real-time data then feed simulation and forecasting models. Decision dashboards present results in a form suitable for planners, dispatch centres, senior officials, and, where appropriate, the public.

Integrated Technology Architecture

The proposed implementation architecture contains five functional components. The first is a city data foundation consisting of a 3D geographic information system, cadastral information, an address register, building models, and utility network maps. The second is a data exchange layer that links traffic control, public transport, environmental monitoring, smart meters, emergency services, and citizen request systems. The third component provides data validation, metadata, access control, and audit logs.

The fourth component is the analytical engine. It combines rules, statistical methods, artificial intelligence, and engineering simulation to calculate transport demand, network capacity, environmental effects, accessibility, and emergency risks. The fifth component is the decision layer, which includes operational alerts, management dashboards, scenario comparison, and an open-data interface. The architecture should use modular services and open standards so that the city can add new use cases without dependence on one technology supplier.

Phase	Period	Main Work	Measurable Output
Preparation	0-6 months	Data inventory, governance rules, base GIS, pilot selection	Approved data catalogue and baseline indicators
Pilot	6-18 months	Integrate selected systems and launch three use cases	Operational pilots with verified service results
Scale	18-36 months	Expand to districts, utilities, planning, and emergencies	Citywide platform and common workflows
Optimize	After 36 months	Predictive control, automated alerts, public interfaces	Continuous improvement against city KPIs

Priority Pilot Projects

The first pilot should address traffic management in a corridor with recurrent congestion. Data from cameras, signal controllers, buses, road works, and parking facilities would be combined to test signal plans and route changes before field implementation. The second pilot should focus on water distribution in a district with high losses or unstable pressure. Meter data, network topology, repair records, and consumption patterns would support leak detection and maintenance prioritization. The third pilot should assess development proposals in a rapidly growing area by modelling transport demand, utility loads, access to schools and clinics, green-space provision, and exposure to environmental risks.

These pilots cover operational, infrastructure, and planning decisions. Together they test whether the platform can integrate different data owners, update models at suitable intervals, and convert analysis into a responsible agency's action. Pilot areas should be selected using baseline evidence, and each use case must have a designated owner, target value, budget, and completion date.

Governance Data Protection and Financing

A municipal digital twin cannot be sustained as a temporary information technology project. Tashkent should establish a governance council that approves architecture, data standards, priorities, and access rules. A permanent platform operator would maintain the shared services, while sector agencies remain accountable for data quality and operational decisions. Universities and research institutions can validate models and develop local analytical capacity.

Data protection should follow the principles of purpose limitation, minimum collection, aggregation, role-based access, and complete logging. Cybersecurity requirements must include network segmentation, encryption, backup, incident response, and continuity planning. Procurement contracts should require data portability, documented interfaces, source-code or continuity safeguards where justified, and the right to transfer the platform to another operator.

Financing should be phased. The municipal budget can fund the core data platform and public-interest pilots, while utilities may finance modules that reduce losses and operating costs. Public-private partnerships may be appropriate for specific services only when ownership of public data, service levels, pricing, and termination conditions are clearly defined. Each expansion decision should be based on verified pilot results and total lifecycle cost rather than initial purchase price.

Performance Measurement

Implementation success should be measured through city outcomes. Transport indicators may include average corridor travel time, bus punctuality, and delay at controlled junctions. Utility indicators should cover non-revenue water, failure detection time, repair duration, and energy consumption. Planning indicators may include the share of major projects subjected to scenario assessment, population access to public services, green-space accessibility, and infrastructure capacity deficits identified before approval. Environmental and resilience indicators should include hours of excessive air pollution, urban heat exposure, emergency response time, and the proportion of critical assets represented in the model.

Baseline values must be established before the pilots begin. Results should be reviewed quarterly, with methods and limitations documented. A pilot that does not improve an agreed outcome should be redesigned or stopped; expanding an unverified demonstration across the city would create technical debt without improving urban management.

Conclusion

Digital twin technology can provide Tashkent with an integrated mechanism for coordinating urban planning and daily operations. Its value will depend on connecting data to specific decisions, assigning responsibility for data and action, and evaluating results against measurable urban outcomes. A phased programme built around transport, water, and development-planning pilots would allow the city to test institutional and technical arrangements before citywide expansion. With open architecture, strong data governance, cybersecurity, and lifecycle-based financing, the digital twin can support more efficient infrastructure, better environmental management, and more resilient urban growth.

References:

1. Decree of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan No. PF-6079 of 5 October 2020, On Approval of the Digital Uzbekistan 2030 Strategy and Measures for Its Effective Implementation.
2. United Nations. Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Goal 11: Sustainable Cities and Communities. New York, 2015.
3. ISO 37120:2018. Sustainable Cities and Communities - Indicators for City Services and Quality of Life.
4. ISO 37122:2019. Sustainable Cities and Communities - Indicators for Smart Cities.