

MULTIDISCIPLINE PROCEEDINGS OF
**DIGITAL FASHION
CONFERENCE**

KOREA, REPUBLIC OF

Multidiscipline Proceedings of

DIGITAL FASHION CONFERENCE

September 2023 (*Volume 3, No.5*)

Copyright © 2023
By Woongjin Think Big Co., Ltd.
All rights reserved.
Available at digitalfashionsociety.org
Published:
서울 합정역
파주출판도시
ISSN 2466-0744
Seoul
Korea, Republic of

EDITORIAL BOARD

Katharina Sand

*PhD Candidate - Faculty of Communication, Culture and Society, USI -
Università della Svizzera italiana*

Alice Noris

*PhD Candidate - Faculty of Communication, Culture and Society, USI -
Università della Svizzera italiana*

Michela Ornati

*Faculty of Communication, Culture and Society, USI - Università della
Svizzera italiana*

ELSEVIER



SRN
Societal Research Network

Universal
Impact Factor



REALIZATION OF COMMUNICATIVE AND PRAGMATIC INTENTION OF HUMOR IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK

Abboskhonova Feruzakhon Abdurashid qizi

Turin Polytechnic University in Tashkent

Khoshimova Fotima Rakhmatillaevna

Uzbek State World Languages University

Abstract: The article is devoted to studies of the realization of communicative and pragmatic intention of humor in English and Uzbek languages. The attention will be focused on the fact that every single nation has its own features, customs, culture, traditions as well as the sense of humor. According to the distinctions and differences between the world pictures of nations one humor can be ridiculous for one culture and foreign for the other one. When it comes to humor, the majority of people start making the mistake of thinking that humor is easy and simple. However, in fact, to make someone laugh with the help of verbal jokes, a person needs more than we think: social, cultural and linguistic elements of every nation.

Keywords: pragmatics, humor, joke, verbal humor, discourse, speech, culture, communication, language use, speech acts, communicative intention, speaker, illocutionary act, utterance.

Introduction

Humor is deeply rooted in the social and cultural memory of people and covers countless spheres of life as public, private, religious, political and so on. Humor is a complex and universal human phenomenon. Since the earliest times, it has performed an essential role in human interaction (Kao, Levy & Goodman, 2015). In addition, human beings are the only species that laughs (Ashipaoloye, 2013). Humor research draws upon a wide range of disciplines: anthropology, linguistics, medicine, psychology, philosophy, sociology and women's studies. The field of the linguistics of humor is in many ways still in its infancy (Attardo, 2014).

The most obvious function of humor is to create solidarity among the participants. Crucially, humor may function as a tool to challenge authority because of one of its features. Essentially, this consists of the ability to discount one's remarks as having been uttered non- seriously. This option is called decommitment (Attardo, 1994, p. 325-326; Kane, Suls, & Tedeschi, 1977) and provides the opportunity to test behavior that might be socially or interactionally "risky" (Emerson, 1969).

The study thus intends to empirically examine the different ways in which humor is manifested linguistically in the written discourse and how it is rhetorically structured, analyzing the pragmatic function it plays, the process how the language is manipulated, how its interpretations are arrived at, and what humorous effects result from the social interaction.

Literature review and methods

In passing, and without any serious discussion, Greimas (1966) mentioned that some jokes functioned by switching isotopies. Several European scholars adopted this model, which was soon enriched by the use of narrative functions, such as the idea that jokes consisted of three functions: the first one setting up the story, the second one introducing an incongruity, and the third one resolving it with the punch line.

J. Leech, the author of the well-known book "Pragmalinguistics" relies on sociolinguistic indicators to distinguish groups of speech acts, and classifies them according to "how

they are related to the purpose of ensuring politeness and establishing this environment" (Leech 1983: 104).

Furthermore, the semantic- script theory of humor (SSTH) emerged, proposed by Victor Raskin, in 1985. Raskin's book was extremely successful, for two main reasons: first, it was the first coherent, book- length treatment of the semantics of humor; second, it linked the linguistic treatment of humor to the broader field of humor research, by providing a thorough review of the literature and a clear epistemological position within the field of linguistics. Humor studies provide the questions, and linguistics provides the answers (Attardo, 2017).

The ideathat jokes and humor at large are a violation of the cooperative principles, or of one of the maxims, is not new, but Raskin, and later Attardo (1994), integrated it within the linguistics of humor. There has been some scattered opposition to this view, essentially attempting to deny the reality of the violation. The most significant of these is by Goatly (2012, p. 235), who suggests considering humor as a short- term violation (Attardo 2017).

Besides, corpus linguistics has had a very significant impact on the field of linguistics, unmatched in humor studies, where corpus- based studies are rare.

Results and discussion

As humor constitutes a complex and paradoxical phenomenon, it needs pragmatic analyses in order to investigate the multiple layers of meanings it carries. The analysis first considered the major proponents of 'speech act theory' and their ideas about meaning assignment. This opened up the possibility of there being a difference between the meaning of certain words and what the speaker intended to convey by using those words. Grice (1957) and Dascal (1985) regarded this as humorous intent and that jokes depend on the existence of these sociopragmatic devices that make indirectness possible. These can be discovered from three different levels of meaning: (a) sentence meaning: understanding a speaker's words (b) utterance meaning: understanding those words in their specific reference in the context of the utterance, (c) speaker's meaning: the speaker's intention of uttering those words in that context. Speaker's meaning can be conveyed in two different ways: directly or indirectly. It is direct when it is identical to the utterance meaning; in this case pragmatic interpretation can be seen as the 'endorsement' of the utterance meaning by the listener. It is indirect when it is different from the utterance meaning, and the pragmatic interpretation is constructed by drawing on the cues in the context and by using the utterance meaning as a starting point.

There are four main types of humorous intents that are in accordance with Searle's (1985) types of speech acts: (a) to assert, conclude, describe- representatives, (b) to promise, offer- commissives, (c) to request, question, order- directives, and (d) to thank, apologize- expressives.

Furthermore, types of humorous intents under this category include affirming, alleging, announcing, answering, attributing, claiming, classifying, concurring, confirming, conjecturing, denying, disagreeing, disclosing, disputing, identifying, informing, insisting, predicting, ranking, reporting, stating, stipulating. Some other humorous intents found in the jokes covered: agreeing, guaranteeing, inviting, offering, promising, swearing, and volunteering. Other humorous intents workable in the jokes are advising, admonishing, asking, begging, dismissing, excusing, forbidding, instructing, ordering, permitting, requesting, requiring, suggesting, urging, and warning. Another types of humorous intents in the jokes relate to apologizing, condoling, congratulating, greeting, thanking, accepting.

Moreover, performing a speech act, in particular an illocutionary act, is a matter of having a certain communicative intention in uttering certain words; on this occasion, it

is humorous intent. Such an act succeeds, if the audience recognizes that intention. It follows that people must choose their words in such a way that their utterances make the humorous intention recognizable. As it was mentioned above, speaker's meaning can be conveyed either directly or indirectly. Jokes systematically exploit indirectness; they point to a preferred meaning and this must be done indirectly.

To illustrate these ideas, the analysis of several brief jokes are given:

- I met a microbiologist the other day.

He was much bigger than I expected.

The jokes are mainly based on word play, thus 'micro-' is a prefix meaning 'little' and the type of current humorous intent, according to Searle's (1985) types of speech acts, is representative as the speaker asserts, concludes, or describes the 'microbiologist'. Besides, the joke includes indirectness in the first sentence.

Respectively, the next example of brief joke is also based on the word play:

Dentist: "Oh Lord, I've never seen such a huge cavity - cavity."

Patient: "Yeah, you don't have to say it twice."

Dentist: "I didn't. It was an echo."

'Cavity' in English is considered to be polysemous, besides cavity in the mountain which is defined as an empty space within a solid object, the word has the meaning 'cavity in the tooth' namely a decayed part of a tooth. The type of the intention of this humor is also representative.

In contrast, the next joke contains directive type of humorous intention:

A man goes to the lawyer: "What is your fee?"

Lawyer says: "1000 US dollars for 3 questions."

Man: "Wow - so much! Isn't it a bit expensive?"

Lawyer: "Yes, what is your third question?"

As the example is based on questions and interrogation, the intent type is called directive. Speaker's meaning is conveyed indirectly, thus until reading the last question of the lawyer it is impossible to understand what does the lawyer mean with the first and the second questions. It means that indirectness plays a significant role in making humorous context.

Analyzing the next uzbek humorous story, various intents of humor can be observed by readers. When the first speaker says "- Hormang, ustoz? or Nima harakat?" he wants to know what is his fellow doing. As well as the first speaker the second one also replies in imperative way "- Uxlayver, bolam, charchagansan, uxlayver", and this type of humorous intention is also considered to be directive type in accordance with Searle's (1985) types of speech acts. Next lines contain representatives "- Odamning yoshi bir joyga borganidan keyin shunaqa ekan. Yarim kechadan keyin esim jinday kirarli-chiqarli bo'lib qoladi. Nima qilayotganimni o'zim bilmayman" and directives "- Esingiz kirarli-chiqarli bo'lsa, nega o'zingizning guruchni mening qopimga solmaysiz-da, menikini o'zingizning qopingizga solasiz?". The ridiculous conclusion is made by the reader as the story's humorous meaning is conveyed indirectly and implicitly.

Conclusion

To conclude, the article describes the ways of achieving the pragmatic and communicative goals of humor in English and Uzbek. Humor, it's function, role, purpose as well as it's realization were highlighted in the article. The studies and research results of several scientists on humor's pragmatic and communicative intention were highlighted. The pragmatic and communicative intention of humor, the process of how language is manipulated, and how its implement is carried out were analyzed using

English and Uzbek humorous contexts. However, the main problems of achieving successful realization of communicative and pragmatic intention of humor in English and Uzbek remaining still unexplored.

Used literature.

1. Abasxanova, X. Yu, and U. B. Amirsaidov. "Microprocessors. A textbook for higher education. Toshkent-2016." b 280: 26-091.
2. Abasxanova, X. Yu. "Textbook for college students majoring in" digital technology" 5.55. 01.01-" Telecommunication technologies" Tashkent." (2021).
3. Abaskhanova, X. Yu, and I. N. Juraev. "Khoshimova FR Textbook for college students majoring in" digital technology" 5.55. 01.01-" Telecommunication technologies". Tashkent." (2021).
4. Abaskhanova, H. Yu, and K. Sherjanova. "Creating microprocessor systems for people with disabilities creating microprocessor systems for people with disabilities. Collection of reports of the republican scientific-practical conference" prospects for the development of information and communication technologies." Karshi-2018." 87-89.
5. Abaskhanova, H. Y. "Applying infocommunication technologies to agriculture." Current problems of modern science. Xalqaro konferentsiya. Chicago USA-2022.-6.
6. Abaskhanova Khalima, Khaydarova Markhamat, Mirzaeva Malika Development of hardware and software complex for monitoring system of agricultural crops // Universum: Технические науки. 2022. №9-5 (102). Url: <https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/development-of-hardware-and-software-complex-for-monitoring-system-of-agricultural-crops> (дата обращения: 04.10.2023).
7. Abasxanova, X. Yu. "Modeling digital devices with the help of vhdl programming language. Current problems of modern science." International conference. Chicago USA. 2022.
8. Markhamat Y. Khaydarova, & Khalima Y. Abaskhanova. (2022). Applying infocommunication technologies to agriculture. International scientific and current research conferences, 1(01), 27-29. Retrieved from <https://orientalpublication.com/index.php/isrc/article/view/615>
9. Yu, Abaskhanova Kh. "Analysis of information and communication technologies in green environment monitoring." International conference on information science and communications technologies applications, trends and opportunities: ICISCT. 2022.
10. Yu, Abaskhanova KH. "Features of introduction of innovative technologies in agriculture of Uzbekistan." International scientific journal "Universum: Technical science (2021).
11. Yu, Abaskhanova Kh. "Advantages of using digital technologies in agriculture." Agro science. Agrarian-economic, scientific-practical magazine. Tashkent-2022.3-appendix (81)-no.-pages104-105.